



TITLE:

Boundary value problem for Hyperfunction solutions to Fuchsian systems (Several aspects of microlocal analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

Yamazaki, Susumu

CITATION:

Yamazaki, Susumu. Boundary value problem for Hyperfunction solutions to Fuchsian systems (Several aspects of microlocal analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録別冊 2016, B57: 175-194

ISSUE DATE:

2016-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/241341>

RIGHT:

© 2016 by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University. All rights reserved.

Boundary value problem for Hyperfunction solutions to Fuchsian systems

By

Susumu YAMAZAKI*

Abstract

In the framework of algebraic analysis, a general boundary value morphism is defined for any hyperfunction solutions to the Fuchsian system of analytic linear partial differential equations in derived category, and the injectivity of this morphism in zero-th cohomologies (that is, the Holmgren type theorem) is proved. Moreover, under a kind of hyperbolicity condition, it is proved that this morphism is surjective (that is, the solvability). These results extend that of H. Tahara and Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes to general Fuchsian systems.

Introduction

In this article, we announce of results about boundary value problems for hyperfunction solutions along an initial boundary to the *Fuchsian system* of analytic linear differential equations in the framework of *Algebraic Analysis*.

Fuchsian partial differential operator was first defined by Baouendi-Goulaouic [1], This class includes non-characteristic type as a special case, and Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem (that is, unique solvability for Cauchy problem) was proved in [1] under the conditions of characteristic exponents. Next, Tahara [22] defined a *Fuchsian Volevič system* as a generalization of Fuchsian partial differential operator, and proved a Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem in the complex domain for holomorphic solutions under the conditions of characteristic exponents. Moreover Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [10] defined a Fuchsian \mathcal{D}_X -Module, and they proved a Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem in the complex domain in general settings; that is, without conditions of characteristic

Received May 19, 2015. Revised June 22, 2015. Accepted June 22, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): Primary 35A27; Secondary 32C38, 35L57, 58J15.

Key Words: Boundary value problem, Fuchsian system, hyperfunctions, Algebraic analysis.

This research was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 15K04908, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

*Department of General Education, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, 24-1 Narashinodai 7-chome, Funabashi-shi, Chiba 274-8501, Japan.

exponents. Here and in what follows, we shall write a *Ring* or a *Module* etc. with capital letters, instead of a *sheaf of rings* or a *sheaf of left modules* etc. We remark that the notion of Fuchsian \mathcal{D}_X -Modules includes Fuchsian Volevič systems.

For Cauchy problem in the framework of hyperfunctions on the real domain, Tahara [22] obtained a Cauchy-Kovalevskaja type theorem for hyperfunction solutions with a real analytic parameter to *hyperbolic Fuchsian Volevič system* under the conditions of characteristic exponents. As for the uniqueness of hyperfunction solutions, Oaku [16] and Oaku-Yamazaki [19] extended the uniqueness result to Fuchsian systems. Further Yamazaki [25] obtained the unique solvability theorem of Cauchy problem for general Fuchsian hyperbolic systems in the framework of hyperfunctions (that is, hyperfunctions with a real analytic parameter, or mild hyperfunctions) without the conditions of characteristic exponents.

Next, for a boundary value problems for hyperfunction solutions, Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [11] give a general framework, and using results of [9], for any regular-specializable system (i.e. Fuchsian with constant characteristic exponents case), they defined an injective boundary value morphism (see also [14], [15]), and discussed solvability. For a microlocal counterpart, see Yamazaki [24].

In this paper, along the line of [11] and [24], we shall define an injective boundary value morphism for hyperfunction solutions to general Fuchsian system and state the unique solvability theorem for the boundary value problem in the category of hyperfunctions. For this purpose, by using precise analysis due to Tahara [22] and an idea of Oaku [18], we shall define a sort of nearby cycles for general Fuchsian Modules.

Details of this article will be appeared in a forthcoming paper.

§ 1. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall fix the notation and recall known results used in later sections. Our main reference is Kashiwara-Schapira [7].

We denote by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} the sets of all the integers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. Moreover we set $\mathbb{N} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; n \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{>0} := \{r \in \mathbb{R}; r > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} := \{r \in \mathbb{R}; r \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

In this paper, all the manifolds are assumed to be *paracompact*. Let Z be a manifold. For a subset $A \subset Z$, we denote by $\text{Int } A$ and $\text{Cl } A$ the interior and the closure of A respectively. Let \mathcal{A} be a Ring on Z . We denote by \mathcal{A}^{op} the opposed Ring, and we regard right \mathcal{A} -Modules as (left) \mathcal{A}^{op} -Modules. We denote by $\mathfrak{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ the category of \mathcal{A} -Modules, and by $\mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of coherent \mathcal{A} -Modules. Further we denote by $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ the bounded derived category of complexes of \mathcal{A} -Modules, and by $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of objects with coherent cohomologies. We set $\mathbf{D}^b(Z) := \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{C}_Z)$ etc. for short. Set $* \otimes * :=$

$* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_Z} *$ etc. We denote by \mathcal{O}_Z the orientation sheaf. Let $f: W \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping between manifolds. Then the relative orientation sheaf is defined by $\mathcal{O}_{W/Z} := \mathcal{O}_W \otimes f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Z$. Further $\omega_{W/Z} = \mathcal{O}_{W/Z}[\dim W - \dim Z]$ denotes the dualizing complex, and $\omega_{W/Z}^{\otimes -1} = \mathcal{O}_{W/Z}[\dim Z - \dim W]$ its dual. If $\tau: E \rightarrow Z$ is a vector bundle over a manifold Z , we set $\dot{E} := E \setminus Z$ and $\dot{\tau}$ the restriction of τ to \dot{E} . Let $\pi: E^* \rightarrow Z$ the dual bundle. We set

$$P_E^+ := \{(v, \xi) \in E \times_Z E^*; \langle v, \xi \rangle > 0\},$$

and denote by $\hat{p}_1: P_E^+ \rightarrow E$ and $\hat{p}_2: P_E^+ \rightarrow E^*$ the canonical projections. Let $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(E) \subset \mathbf{D}^b(E)$ be the subcategory of the bounded derived category of sheaves such that each cohomology is conic. If $\tau: E \rightarrow Z$ is a complex vector bundle, we denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(E)$ the subcategory of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(E)$ consisting of objects \mathcal{F} such that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, each $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ is locally constant on the orbits of the action \mathbb{C}^\times .

Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(E)$. We denote by \mathcal{F}^\wedge the Fourier-Sato transform of \mathcal{F} .

1.1. Proposition ([23, Corollary A.2], cf. [20, Chapter I]). *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(E)$. Then there exists the following distinguished triangle:*

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^! \mathbf{R}\tau_! \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\hat{p}_{1*} \hat{p}_2^! \mathcal{F}^\wedge \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be an object of $\mathbf{D}^b(Z)$, and $T^*Z \rightarrow Z$ the cotangent bundle of Z . We denote by $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ the *microsupport* of \mathcal{F} due to Kashiwara-Schapira (see [7]). $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ is a closed conic involutive subset of T^*Z and described as follows: Let \mathring{p} be a point of T^*Z . Then $\mathring{p} \notin \text{SS}(\mathcal{F})$ if the following condition holds: there exists a neighborhood U of \mathring{p} in T^*Z such that for any $\mathring{z} \in Z$ and any real valued real analytic function ψ defined on a sufficiently small neighborhood of \mathring{z} satisfying $(\mathring{z}; d\psi(\mathring{z})) \in U$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\{z; \psi(z) \geq \psi(\mathring{z})\}}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathring{z}} = 0.$$

Note that $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \cap T_Z^*Z = \text{supp } \mathcal{F}$.

Next, let Z be a complex manifold with a local coordinate system $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$, we use the following identifications as in [20, Chapter I]:

$$\begin{aligned} TZ \ni (z; \langle v, \partial_z \rangle) &\leftrightarrow (x, y; \langle \text{Re } v, \partial_x \rangle + \langle \text{Im } v, \partial_y \rangle) \in TZ^{\mathbb{R}}, \\ T^*Z \ni (z; \langle \zeta, dz \rangle) &\leftrightarrow (x, y; \langle \text{Re } \zeta, dx \rangle - \langle \text{Im } \zeta, dy \rangle) \in T^*Z^{\mathbb{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z^{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the underlying real manifold of Z . Thus, for the complex dual inner product $\langle *, * \rangle: TZ \times_Z T^*Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the corresponding real dual inner product is $\text{Re}\langle *, * \rangle: TZ \times_Z T^*Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

§ 2. General Boundary Values

Let M be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional real analytic manifold and N a one-codimensional closed real analytic submanifold of M . Let X and Y be complexifications of M and N respectively such that Y is a closed submanifold of X and that $Y \cap M = N$. Let $\tilde{z} = \tilde{x} + \sqrt{-1} \tilde{y}$ be a local coordinate system of X such that \tilde{x} is a local coordinate system of M . We assume that there exists a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional real analytic submanifold L of X containing both M and Y such that the triplet (N, M, L) is locally isomorphic to the triplet $(\{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}\}, \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}, \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}\})$ by a local coordinate system $\tilde{z} = (z, \tau)$ with $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = (x, t)$, $z = x + \sqrt{-1} y$ and $\tau = t + \sqrt{-1} s$ around each point of N (i.e. L is a partial complexification). We say such a local coordinate system *admissible*, and under this local coordinate system, we have:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} N = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{f_N} & M = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t & & \\ \downarrow \iota_N & & \downarrow \iota_M & \searrow \iota & \\ Y = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{g} & L = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{R}_t & \xrightarrow{h} & X = \mathbb{C}_z^n \times \mathbb{C}_\tau \\ & & \downarrow f & & \end{array}$$

Then we identify $\mathcal{O}_{N/Y}$ with $f_N^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M/L}$.

2.1. Remark. Let (z, τ) and $(\dot{z}, \dot{\tau})$ be admissible local coordinate systems, and $(\dot{z}, \dot{\tau}) = (\Psi(z, \tau), \psi(z, \tau))$ a holomorphic coordinate transformation. Then, since $\dot{t} := \operatorname{Re} \dot{\tau} = \psi(z, \tau)|_L = \psi(z, t)$ is real valued and holomorphic with respect to z -variables, we have $\dot{t} = \psi(t)$, hence we can show that $\dot{\tau} = \psi(\tau)$.

Let $\tau_N: T_N M \rightarrow N$ and $\pi_N: T_N^* M \rightarrow N$ be the *normal* and the *conormal bundles* to N in M respectively. By an admissible local coordinate system, we often identify normal bundles with base spaces; for example, $T_Y X = X$, $T_M X = X$, $T_N M = M$ etc. (i.e. we identify $(x; t) \in T_N M$ with $(x, t) \in M$). We denote by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{z}; \tilde{z}^*) &= (z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) = (\tilde{x} + \sqrt{-1} \tilde{y}; \tilde{x}^* + \sqrt{-1} \tilde{y}^*) \\ &= (x + \sqrt{-1} y, t + \sqrt{-1} s; x^* + \sqrt{-1} y^*, t^* + \sqrt{-1} s^*) \end{aligned}$$

the associated local coordinate system of $T^* X$ with the local coordinate system in (2.1). The mapping f induces mappings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N & \xrightarrow{f_N} & M \\ & \swarrow \pi & \downarrow \sqrt{-1} T_N^* M & \searrow i & \downarrow i_M \\ N & \xleftarrow{i_N} & N \times T_M^* X & \xrightarrow{f_N \pi} & T_M^* X \\ & \searrow f_{Nd} & \downarrow \pi & \searrow \pi_M & \\ & & T_N^* Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & N \\ & & & \downarrow f_N & \\ & & & N & \xrightarrow{f_N} & M \end{array}$$

where π_N, π_M and π are canonical projections, i_N, i_M and i are zero-section embeddings, and \square means that the square is *Cartesian*. Assume that $N = \varphi^{-1}(0)$ for an analytic function φ such that we may choose that $\varphi(\tilde{x}) = t$. We use the same symbol $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to stand for the complexification, and we may assume that $\varphi(\tilde{z}) = \tau$. Then $d\varphi$ induces $\tilde{\varphi}: T_Y X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and we denote by $\hat{\sigma}: Y \rightarrow \dot{T}_Y X$ the section of $T_Y X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(1)$, and by ${}^*\hat{\sigma}: Y \rightarrow \dot{T}_Y^* X$ the section of $T_Y^* X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $d\varphi$. In the same way, $d\varphi$ induces $\tilde{\varphi}: T_N M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and we can define mappings $\hat{s}: N \rightarrow \dot{T}_N M$ and ${}^*\hat{s}: N \rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \dot{T}_N^* M = \dot{T}_M^* X \cap \dot{T}_Y^* X$. Under the local coordinate system in (2.1), we have

$$\hat{\sigma}(z) = (z, 1), \quad {}^*\hat{\sigma}(z) = (z; 1 \cdot d\tau), \quad \hat{s}(x) = (x, 1), \quad {}^*\hat{s}(x) = (x; \sqrt{-1} dt).$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{T}_N M^+ &:= \mathbb{R}_{>0} \hat{s}(N) \simeq \{(x, t); t > 0\} \subset T_N M^+ := \dot{T}_N M^+ \cup T_N N \simeq \{(x, t); t \geq 0\}, \\ \dot{T}_N^* M^+ &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \mathbb{R}_{>0} {}^*\hat{s}(N) \simeq \{(x; t^*); t^* > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1), for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}^b(T_N M)$ we can obtain

$$(2.3) \quad R\tau_{N!} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \rightarrow R\tau_{N*} R\Gamma_{T_N M^+}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \rightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

As usual, let ν_* and μ_* be *specialization* and *microlocalization functors* respectively. We write $M \setminus N = \Omega_+ \sqcup \Omega_-$, where each Ω_{\pm} is an open subset and $\partial\Omega_{\pm} = N$. We set $M_+ := \Omega_+ \sqcup N$. By an admissible local coordinate system, we can write

$$\Omega_+ = \{(x, t) \in M; t > 0\} \subset M_+ = \{(x, t) \in M; t \geq 0\}.$$

By (2.3), we can prove:

2.2. Proposition ([11, Proposition 1.2.3], [15]). *For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, there exists the following morphism between distinguished triangles:*

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_N(\mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow R\Gamma_{M_+}(\mathcal{F})|_N \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{F})|_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \xrightarrow{+1} \\ &= \qquad \qquad \qquad = \qquad \qquad \qquad = \\ R\Gamma_N(\mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow R\tau_{N*} R\Gamma_{T_N M^+}(\nu_N(R\Gamma_M(\mathcal{F}))) \rightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} \nu_N(R\Gamma_M(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \xrightarrow{+1} \\ &= \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ R\Gamma_N(\mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow R\tau_{N*} R\Gamma_{T_N M^+}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} R\Gamma_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \xrightarrow{+1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and assume that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X)$. Then there exist the following distinguished triangles:*

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_Y(\mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow {}^*\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F})[-1] \xrightarrow{+1}, \\ f^{-1} \mathcal{F} &\longrightarrow \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow {}^*\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mu_Y(\mathcal{F})[1] \xrightarrow{+1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and assume that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X)$. Then there exist the following isomorphisms of distinguished triangles:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\underline{N}}(\mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\tau_{N*} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_N \underline{M}^+}(\nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_N M}(\nu_{\underline{Y}}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\underline{N}}(\mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow {}^* \hat{s}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\sqrt{-1} T_N^* M}(\mu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \omega_{N/M}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_N M}(\nu_{\underline{Y}}(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_N(\mathcal{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_N({}^* \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_N(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F}))[-1] \xrightarrow{+1} . \end{aligned}$$

In particular we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{F})|_N \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} = \hat{s}^{-1} \nu_N(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_N(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \omega_{N/Y}^{\otimes -1}.$$

Next, we denote by \widetilde{M}_N and \widetilde{L}_Y the normal deformations of N and Y in M and L respectively and regard \widetilde{M}_N as a closed submanifold of \widetilde{L}_Y . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T_N M & \xrightarrow{s_M} & \widetilde{M}_N & \xleftarrow{j_M} & \Omega_M & & \\ & \searrow \tau_N & \downarrow p_M & \swarrow \tilde{p}_M & \downarrow \iota & & \\ & & N & \xrightarrow{\iota_N} & M & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X \\ & & \downarrow \iota'_M & \swarrow j_L & \downarrow \tilde{\iota}_M & & \\ T_Y L & \xrightarrow{s_L} & \widetilde{L}_Y & \xleftarrow{j_L} & \Omega_L & & \\ & \searrow \tau_Y & \downarrow p_L & \swarrow \tilde{p}_L & \downarrow \iota & & \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & L & \xrightarrow{h} & X \end{array}$$

Using an admissible local coordinate system, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} p_L: \widetilde{L}_Y &= \{(z, t; r); r \in \mathbb{R}, (z, rt) \in L\} \ni (z, t; r) \mapsto (z, rt) \in L, \\ p_M: \widetilde{M}_N &= \{(x, t; r); r \in \mathbb{R}, (x, rt) \in M\} \ni (x, t; r) \mapsto (x, rt) \in M, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_Y L &= \widetilde{L}_Y \cap \{(z, t; r); r = 0\}, \quad \Omega_L = \widetilde{L}_Y \cap \{(z, t; r); r > 0\}, \\ T_N M &= \widetilde{M}_N \cap \{(x, t; r); r = 0\}, \quad \Omega_M = \widetilde{M}_N \cap \{(x, t; r); r > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The mappings $\tilde{\tau}: T_Y L \rightarrow Y$, $p_L: \widetilde{L}_Y \rightarrow L$, $s_L: T_Y L \rightarrow \widetilde{L}_Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow L$ induce natural mappings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N \times_M T_M^* L & \xrightarrow{g_{Nd}} & T_N^* Y & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\tau}_\pi} & T_N M \times_N T_N^* Y & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L \\ & \downarrow g_{N\pi} & & & & & \uparrow s_{Ld} \\ T_M^* L & \xleftarrow{p_{L\pi}} & \widetilde{M}_N \times_M T_M^* L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_{\widetilde{M}_N}^* \widetilde{L}_Y & \xleftarrow{s_{L\pi}} & T_N M \times_{\widetilde{M}_N} T_{\widetilde{M}_N}^* \widetilde{L}_Y, \end{array}$$

and by these mappings we use the following identifications:

$$T_N M \times_N T_N^* Y = T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L = T_N M \times_{\widetilde{M}_N} T_{\widetilde{M}_N}^* \widetilde{L}_Y, \quad \widetilde{M}_N \times_M T_M^* L = T_{\widetilde{M}_N}^* \widetilde{L}_Y,$$

and we denote by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{N|M}: T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L &= T_N M \times_N T_N^* Y = T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L \rightarrow T_N M, \\ \pi_{N,M}: T_{\widetilde{M}_N}^* \widetilde{L}_Y &= \widetilde{M}_N \times_M T_M^* L \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_N,\end{aligned}$$

the natural projections. $T_Y L \setminus T_Y Y$ has two components with respect to its fiber. We denote by $\dot{T}_Y L^+$ one of them as $\dot{T}_N M^+ = \dot{T}_Y L^+ \cap T_N M$ and represent by fixing a local coordinate system

$$\dot{T}_Y L^+ = \{(z, t) \in T_Y L; t > 0\}$$

(in this case we choose $\varphi(\tilde{z}) = \tau$). Define open embeddings i_+ and i_{N+} by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dot{T}_Y L^+ & \xhookrightarrow{i_+} & T_Y L \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \dot{T}_N M^+ & \xhookrightarrow{i_{N+}} & T_N M. \end{array}$$

We regard $\dot{T}_N M^+ \times_N T_N^* Y$ as an open set of $T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L$. Moreover i_+ induces mappings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\dot{T}_N M^+}^* \dot{T}_Y L^+ & \hookleftarrow & \dot{T}_N M^+ \times_{T_N M} T_N^* T_Y L \xhookrightarrow{i_{\pi+}} T_{T_N M}^* T_Y L \\ & \wr & \wr \\ \dot{T}_N M^+ \times_N T_N^* Y & \xhookrightarrow{i_{N+} \times \mathbb{1}} & T_N M \times_N T_N^* Y. \end{array}$$

Hence we identify $T_{\dot{T}_N M^+}^* \dot{T}_Y L^+$ with $\dot{T}_N M^+ \times_N T_N^* Y$, and $i_{\pi+}$ with $i_{N+} \times \mathbb{1}$. We set

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\pi+} := \tilde{\tau}_\pi \circ i_{\pi+}: \dot{T}_N M^+ \times_N T_N^* Y \rightarrow T_N^* Y.$$

Then we recall

2.5. Theorem ([24, Theorem 2.2]). *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and assume that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X)$. Then there exists the following natural isomorphism:*

$$i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mu_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F}))) \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \simeq \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mu_N(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \omega_{N/Y}^{\otimes -1}.$$

2.6. Definition. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and assume that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X)$. By virtue of Theorem 2.5 we define:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.5) \quad \gamma_+ &: i_{\pi+}^{-1} s_{L\pi}^{-1} \mu_{\widetilde{M}_N}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Omega_L}(p_L^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F}))) \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \\ &\rightarrow i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mu_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F}))) \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \simeq \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mu_N(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \omega_{N/Y}^{\otimes -1}.\end{aligned}$$

We can see that the restriction of (2.5) to $T_N M^+$ coincides with (2.4).

Next, we recall the definition of the near-hyperbolicity condition:

2.7. Definition ([11, Definition 1.3.1]). Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$. Then we say that \mathcal{F} is *near-hyperbolic* at $\dot{x} \in N$ in the ϵdt -codirection ($\epsilon = \pm$) if there exist positive constants C and ε_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \{(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) \in T^*X; |z - \dot{x}| < \varepsilon_1, |\tau| < \varepsilon_1, \epsilon t > 0\} \\ & \subset \{(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*) \in T^*X; |t^*| \leq C((|y| + |s|)|y^*| + |x^*|)\} \end{aligned}$$

holds by the local coordinate system $(z, \tau; z^*, \tau^*)$ of T^*X in (2.2).

2.8. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$. Assume that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X)$ and \mathcal{F} is near-hyperbolic at $\dot{x} \in N$ in the dt -codirection. Then, for any $p^* = (\hat{s}(\dot{x}); \sqrt{-1} \hat{y}^*) \in T_{T_N M}^* \dot{T}_Y L^+$ and $p_0 := (\dot{x}; \sqrt{-1} \dot{y}^*) \in T_N^* Y$, the morphism γ_+ induces isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ : s_{L\pi}^{-1} \mu_{\widetilde{M}_N}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Omega_L}(p_L^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F})))_{p^*} \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} &\simeq \mu_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F})))_{p^*} \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \\ &\simeq \mu_N(\hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F}))_{p_0} \otimes \omega_{N/Y}^{\otimes -1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.9. Corollary. Under the assumption of Theorem 2.8, the morphism γ_+ induces isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ : \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{F})_{\dot{x}} \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} &= \nu_N(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(\mathcal{F}))_{\hat{s}(\dot{x})} \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{F})))_{\hat{s}(\dot{x})} \otimes \omega_{M/X}^{\otimes -1} \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_N(\sigma^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{F}))_{\dot{x}} \otimes \omega_{N/Y}^{\otimes -1}. \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. Operators of Infinite Order

We inherit the notation from the preceding section. For a set (or a sheaf) S with a suitable algebraic structure, we denote by $\text{Mat}_{m,n}(S)$ the set of matrices of size $m \times n$ whose components belong to S . We set $\text{Mat}_m(S) := \text{Mat}_{m,m}(S)$, and denote by $\mathbb{1}_m$ the identity matrix of size m . For the theory of \mathcal{D} -Modules, we refer to Björk [2], Kashiwara [3]. We denote by \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{D}_X the Rings of *holomorphic functions* and *holomorphic partial differential operators* on X . Let Ω_X be the sheaf of the *holomorphic forms with maximal degree* on X , and $\Omega_X^{\otimes -1} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} := \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y} := \Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} f^{-1}\Omega_X^{\otimes -1}$ be the *transfer* $(\mathcal{D}_Y \otimes f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{op}})$ - and $(f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D}_Y)$ -Modules associated with $f: Y \hookrightarrow X$ respectively. We denote by

$$Df^* \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \overset{L}{\otimes}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} f^{-1} \mathcal{N},$$

$$Df^! \mathcal{N} := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{Y^{\text{op}}}}(f^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}_X) \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_X}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, \mathcal{D}_Y)[-1],$$

the *inverse image* and the *extraordinary inverse image* respectively in \mathcal{D} -Module theory. Under the local coordinate system in (2.1), we set $\vartheta := \tau \partial_\tau$ (or $t \partial_t$ in real case).

3.1. Definition. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. We say that \mathcal{M} is *near-hyperbolic* at $\dot{x} \in N$ in the ϵdt -codirection if so is $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ in the sense of Definition 2.7.

3.2. Definition. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $w \leq m$. Then we say that P is a *Fuchsian partial differential operator* of weight (m, w) in the sense of Baouendi-Goulaouic [1] if P can be written in the following form:

$$P(z, \tau, \partial_z, \partial_\tau) = \tau^{m-w} \partial_\tau^m + \sum_{i=w}^{m-1} P_i(z, \tau, \partial_z) \tau^{i-w} \partial_\tau^i + \sum_{i=0}^{w-1} P_i(z, \tau, \partial_z) \partial_\tau^i,$$

where $P_i \in \mathcal{D}_{X(m-i)}$ with $[P_i, \tau] = 0$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$), and $P_i(z, 0, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ ($w \leq i \leq m$).

We say that P is Fuchsian hyperbolic in the sense of Tahara [22] if the principal symbol is written as $\sigma_m(P)(z, \tau, z^*, \tau^*) = \tau^{m-w} p(z, \tau, z^*, \tau^*)$, and $p(z, \tau, z^*, \tau^*)$ satisfies the following:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{If } (x, t; x^*) \text{ are real, all the roots to the equation } p(x, t, x^*, \tau^*) = 0 \text{ with respect} \\ \text{to } \tau^* \text{ are real.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X P$ is near-hyperbolic in the $\pm dt$ -codirections (see [11, Lemma 1.3.2]).

Note that a Fuchsian partial differential operator of weight $(m, 0)$ is called an *operator with regular singularity along Y in a weak sense* in Kashiwara-Oshima [6], and if the weight of P is (m, m) , then Y is non-characteristic for $\mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X P$.

3.3. Definition. We call a matrix $P = \vartheta - A(z, \tau, \partial_z) \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is a *Fuchsian Volevič system* of size m due to Tahara [22] if the following hold: Let $A_{ij}(z, \tau, \partial_z)$ be the (i, j) -component of $A(z, \tau, \partial_z)$.

- (1) There exists $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_{ij}(z, \tau, \partial_z) \leq \mathcal{D}_{X(n_i - n_j + 1)}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.
- (2) $[A_{ij}, \tau] = 0$ and $A_{ij}(z, 0, \partial_z) \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Moreover we say that P is Fuchsian hyperbolic in the sense of Tahara [22] if

$$\det[\tau \tau^* \mathbf{1}_m - \sigma(A)(z, \tau, z^*)] = \tau^m p(z, \tau, z^*, \tau^*),$$

and $p(z, \tau, z^*, \tau^*)$ satisfies the condition (3.1). Then $\mathcal{D}_X^m / \mathcal{D}_X^m P$ satisfies the near-hyperbolicity condition. Here we set $\sigma(A)(z, \tau, z^*) := (\sigma_{n_i - n_j + 1}(A_{ij})(z, \tau, z^*))_{i,j=1}^m$

Let $\mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X) \subset \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ denote the subcategory of Fuchsian \mathcal{D}_X -Modules along Y due to Laurent-Monteiro Fernandes [10].

3.4. Example. (1) If P is a Fuchsian partial differential operator, we have $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

(2) If P is a Fuchsian Volevič system of size m , then $\mathcal{D}_X^m/\mathcal{D}_X^m P \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

3.5. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$.

(2) *Locally, there exists an epimorphism $\bigoplus_{i=1}^I \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P_i \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, where each P_i is a Fuchsian differential operator with weight $(m_i, 0)$.*

3.6. Proposition. *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'' \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$.*

3.7. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then*

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^b(T_Y X).$$

3.8. Definition. We take the admissible local coordinate system in (2.1), and write $X \times X = \{(z, \tau, w, \tau')\}$ on a neighborhood of $Y \times Y = \{(z, 0, w, 0) \in X \times X\}$. We set (see [18])

$$\Delta_{X/Y} := \{(z, \tau, w, \tau') \in X \times X; \tau = \tau'\} = \{(z, w, \tau)\}.$$

Then we regard $Y \times Y$ as a closed subset of $\Delta_{X/Y}$. Let $\Delta_Y \subset Y \times Y$ be the diagonal set. We have closed embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\delta_Y} & Y \times Y & & \\ f \downarrow & & \delta_f \downarrow & \searrow f \times f & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Delta_{X/Y} & \xrightarrow{\delta_{X/Y}} & X \times X \end{array}$$

where $\delta: X \ni (z, \tau) \mapsto (z, z, \tau) \in \Delta_{X/Y}$, $\delta_{X/Y}: \Delta_{X/Y} \ni (z, w, \tau) \mapsto (z, \tau, w, \tau) \in X \times X$ etc.

3.9. Remark. $\Delta_{X/Y}$ does not depend on the choice of admissible local coordinate systems on a neighborhood of $Y \times Y$. Indeed, let (z, τ) and $(\dot{z}, \dot{\tau})$ be admissible local coordinate systems. By Remark 2.1, we write $\dot{\tau} = \psi(\tau)$. Set $\dot{\tau}' := \psi(\tau')$. We may write

$$\dot{\tau}' - \dot{\tau} = \frac{d\psi}{d\tau}(\tau)(\tau' - \tau) + \psi''(\tau', \tau)(\tau' - \tau)^2$$

with $\frac{d\psi}{d\tau}(\tau) \neq 0$ for $|\tau| \ll 1$. Hence if moreover $0 < |\tau' - \tau| \ll 1$, then $\dot{\tau}' \neq \dot{\tau}$. Thus we have $\{(\dot{z}, \dot{\tau}, \dot{w}, \dot{\tau}') \in X \times X; \dot{\tau} = \dot{\tau}'\} \subset \{(z, \tau, w, \tau') \in X \times X; \tau = \tau'\}$ on a neighborhood

of $Y \times Y$. For the same reason, we have $\{(z, \tau, w, \tau') \in X \times X; \tau = \tau'\} \subset \{(\dot{z}, \dot{\tau}, \dot{w}, \dot{\tau}') \in X \times X; \dot{\tau} = \dot{\tau}'\}$ on a neighborhood of $Y \times Y$. In the same way, we can show that $\Delta_{X/Y} \cap (M \times M)$ does not depend on the choice of admissible local coordinate systems on a neighborhood of $N \times N$.

We set $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)} := \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} \otimes_{q_2^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} q_2^{-1} \Omega_X = \Omega_{X \times X} \otimes_{q_1^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} q_1^{-1} \Omega_X^{\otimes -1}$, where $q_i: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the i -th projection, and set $\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}^{(0, n)}$ in the same way. Further we set

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X/Y}}^{(0, n)} := \Omega_{\Delta_{X/Y}} \otimes_{p_1^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} p_1^{-1} \Omega_X^{\otimes -1},$$

where $p_1 := q_1 \circ \delta_{X/Y}: \Delta_{X/Y} \rightarrow X$. Under the admissible local coordinate system, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} dw d\tau'$, $\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}^{(0, n)} = \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y} dw$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X/Y}}^{(0, n)} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X/Y}} dw$, where $dw := dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n$ etc. Let $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ be the diagonal set. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_X^\infty = H_{\Delta_X}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)}) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Delta_X}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)})[n+1]$$

is the Ring on X of *holomorphic partial differential operators of infinite order*. By the tangent mapping $\delta': T_Y X \hookrightarrow T_{Y \times Y} \Delta_{X/Y}$ of $\delta: X \hookrightarrow \Delta_{X/Y}$, we regard $T_Y X$ as a closed subset of $T_{Y \times Y} \Delta_{X/Y}$.

3.10. Theorem. *The object $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_Y X}(\nu_{Y \times Y}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Delta_{X/Y}}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X})))$ is concentrated in degree $n+1$.*

For the proof, we use the abstract edge of the wedge theorem due to Kashiwara (see [5]).

3.11. Definition. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu &:= \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_Y X}(\nu_{Y \times Y}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Delta_{X/Y}}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)})))[n+1] \\ &= H_{T_Y X}^n(\nu_{Y \times Y}(H_{\Delta_{X/Y}}^1(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}^{(0, n+1)}))). \end{aligned}$$

3.12. Remark. Let $\dot{p} = (\dot{z}, \dot{\tau}) \in T_Y X \simeq \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$. For $\rho, \delta > 0$, we set

$$\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z_i - \dot{z}_i| < \rho\}, \quad \mathbb{B}_\delta := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}; |\tau| < \delta\}.$$

Then $P = P(z, \tau, \partial_z, \partial_\tau) = \sum_{\alpha, i} a_{\alpha, i}(z, \tau) \partial_z^\alpha \partial_\tau^i \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X, \dot{p}}^\nu$ is given as follows:

- (a) Assume that $\dot{\tau} = 0$. Then there exist $\rho, \delta_0 > 0$ such that each $a_{\alpha, i}(z, \tau)$ is holomorphic on $\text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0}]$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) < \delta_0$ satisfying the following: for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|a_{\alpha, i}(z, \tau)|; (z, \tau) \in \text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}]\} \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{|\alpha|} \varepsilon_0^i}{\alpha! i!}.$$

- (b) Assume that $\dot{\tau} \neq 0$. Then there exist $\rho, \delta_0, \delta > 0$ such that each $a_\alpha(z, \tau)$ is holomorphic on $\text{Cl}\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |\tau| \leq \delta_0, |\arg(\tau/\dot{\tau})| \leq \delta\}$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) < \delta_0$ satisfying the following: for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $0 < r < \delta(\varepsilon)$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon, r} > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|a_{\alpha, i}(z, \tau)|; z \in \mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}), r \leq |\tau| \leq \delta(\varepsilon), |\arg(\tau/\dot{\tau})| \leq \delta\} \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon, r} \varepsilon^{|\alpha|} \varepsilon_0^i}{\alpha! i!}.$$

Set $\tau_{X, Y} := f \circ \tau_Y: T_Y X \rightarrow X$.

3.13. Remark. (1) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu$ is a Ring with formal adjoints, and $\tau_{X, Y}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_X^\infty$ is a Subring of $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu$, compatible with formal adjoints.

(2) $\nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu$ -Module.

3.14. Definition (Tahara [22]). We take the admissible local coordinate system in (2.1). Let $\dot{z} \in Y$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $P(z, \tau, \partial_z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_\alpha(z, \tau) \partial_z^\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{X|Y, \dot{z}}$ as follows:

- (a) There exist $\rho, \delta_0 > 0$ such that $a_\alpha(z, \tau) \in \Gamma(\text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0}]; \mathcal{O}_X)$,
- (b) there exist $A, m > 0$ satisfying the following: for any $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\max\{|a_\alpha(z, \tau)|; (z, \tau) \in \text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_\delta]\} \leq \frac{C_\delta (A\delta^{1/m})^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}.$$

We can see that $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{X|Y, \tau_Y(\dot{p})} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X, \tau_Y(\dot{p})}^\nu \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X, \dot{p}}^\nu$ for any $\dot{p} \in T_Y X$.

3.15. Definition. We set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu := H_{T_Y X}^n(\nu_{Y \times Y}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X/Y}}^{(0, n)})) = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{T_Y X}(\nu_{Y \times Y}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{X/Y}}^{(0, n)}))[n].$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu$ is a $(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu \otimes \tau_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{\text{op}})$ -Module, and under an admissible local coordinate system we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu \xrightarrow{\cdot \partial_\tau} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu \rightarrow 0$.

3.16. Remark. (1) Let $\dot{p} = (\dot{z}, \dot{\tau}) \in T_Y X$. Then $P(z, \tau, \partial_z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_\alpha(z, \tau) \partial_z^\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y, \dot{p}}^\nu$ is given as follows:

- (a) Assume that $\dot{\tau} = 0$. Then there exist $\rho, \delta_0 > 0$ such that each $a_\alpha(z, \tau)$ is holomorphic on $\text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\delta_0}]$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) < \delta_0$ and $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|a_\alpha(z, \tau)|; (z, \tau) \in \text{Cl}[\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \mathbb{B}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}]\} \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}.$$

- (b) Assume that $\dot{\tau} \neq 0$. Then there exist $\rho, \delta_0, \delta > 0$ such that each $a_\alpha(z, \tau)$ is holomorphic on $\text{Cl}\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}) \times \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}; 0 < |\tau| \leq \delta_0, |\arg(\tau/\dot{\tau})| \leq \delta\}$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \delta(\varepsilon) < \delta_0$ satisfying the following: for any $0 < r < \delta(\varepsilon)$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon, r} > 0$ such that

$$\sup\{|a_\alpha(z, \tau)|; z \in \text{Cl}\mathbb{D}_\rho(\dot{z}), r \leq |\tau| \leq \delta(\varepsilon), |\arg(\tau/\dot{\tau})| \leq \delta\} \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon, r} \varepsilon^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!}.$$

- (2) $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu|_Y = \mathcal{O}\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{Y|L}$ is defined by Oaku [18, Definition 2.3].

3.17. Definition. (1) For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}^b(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu)$, we set

$$\widehat{\Psi}_Y(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu}(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu, \mathcal{F}).$$

Then $\widehat{\Psi}_Y(\mathcal{F})$ is represented by $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\partial_\tau} \mathcal{F}$ under an admissible local coordinate system.

- (2) For any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we set

$$\widehat{\Psi}_Y^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}) := \widehat{\Psi}_Y(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X}^\nu \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\tau_{X,Y}^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{N}), \quad \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{N}) := \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_Y^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

3.18. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then $H^i\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ holds for $i \notin [-n, 1]$, and $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{N})$ is represented by a bounded complex of \mathcal{D}_Y^∞ -Modules.

3.19. Example. (1) $\widehat{\Psi}_Y(\nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq \tau_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$.

(2) $\tau_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty \simeq \widehat{\Psi}_Y(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu)$.

(3) $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X\vartheta) \simeq \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X\partial_\tau) \simeq \mathcal{D}_Y^\infty$.

(4) If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ satisfies that $\text{supp } \mathcal{M} \subset Y$, then $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

§ 4. Holomorphic Solutions to Fuchsian Systems

We inherit the notation from the preceding section.

4.1. Theorem. Let $P = \vartheta - A(z, \tau, \partial_z)$ be a Fuchsian Volevič system of size m . Then for any $\dot{p} \in \dot{T}_Y X$, the following hold:

$$\widehat{\Psi}_Y^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}_X^m/\mathcal{D}_X^m P)_{\dot{p}} \simeq \widehat{\Psi}_Y(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X \rightarrow Y}^\nu)_{\dot{p}}^m \simeq (\mathcal{D}_{Y, \tau_Y(\dot{p})}^\infty)^m.$$

Idea of Proof. We set $A_0(z) := A(z, 0, \partial_z) \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathcal{O}_Y)$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the set of eigenvalues of $A_0(\tau_Y(\dot{p}))$, and set

$$v_P := \begin{cases} \max\{\alpha_i - \alpha_j; \alpha_i - \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\} & (\text{if } \{\alpha_i - \alpha_j\}_{i,j=1}^m \cap (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset), \\ 0 & (\text{if } \{\alpha_i - \alpha_j\}_{i,j=1}^m \cap (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) = \emptyset). \end{cases}$$

We consider the following problem for $R(z, \tau, \partial_z) \in \text{Mat}_m(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{X|Y, \tau_Y(\hat{p})})$:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\vartheta - A(z, \tau, \partial_z))(\tau^{v_P} R)(z, \tau, \partial_z) = \tau^{v_P} R(z, \tau, \partial_z)(\vartheta - A_0(z)), \\ R(z, 0, \partial_z) = \mathbf{1}_m. \end{cases}$$

By using a result of Tahara [22], we can obtain a unique invertible solution to (4.1). Then $\tilde{R}(z, \tau, \partial_z) := \tau^{v_P} R(z, \tau, \partial_z) \tau^{A_0(z)} \in \text{Mat}_m(\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_Y X, \hat{p}}^\nu)$ is invertible and

$$(\vartheta - A(z, \tau, \partial_z))\tilde{R}(z, \tau, \partial_z) = \tilde{R}(z, \tau, \partial_z)\vartheta.$$

From this result, we can prove the theorem. \square

4.2. Proposition. (1) If P is a Fuchsian operator of weight (m, w) , then locally $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X P) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^m$.

(2) If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, then $H^i \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ holds for $i \notin [-n, 0]$.

4.3. Remark. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is represented by

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{r_n} / (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{r_{n+1}} Q \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{r_{n-1}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{r_1} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)^{r_0},$$

where $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q \in \text{Mat}_{r_{n+1}, r_n}(\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty)$.

4.4. Proposition. (1) For any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, there exists a natural morphism $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_Y^\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Y} Df^* \mathcal{N}$.

(2) For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, there exists a natural morphism $\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Y} Df^! \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

As usual, $\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R} := H^1 \mu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)[1]$ denotes the sheaf of *holomorphic microfunctions* on $T_Y^* X$. Then $\mathcal{B}_{Y|X}^\infty := \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R}|_Y = H_Y^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = R\Gamma_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)[1]$ is the sheaf of *holomorphic hyperfunctions*.

4.5. Theorem. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, there exist the following isomorphisms between distinguished triangles:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^* \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^* \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R}), \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \\ \\ R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{Y|X}^\infty) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^! \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_Y)[-1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^* \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^* \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^\mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \hat{\sigma}^{-1} \nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y). \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \end{array}$$

4.6. Remark. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X P$, where P is a Fuchsian partial differential operator of weight (m, w) , or $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X^m / \mathcal{D}_X^m P$, where P is a Fuchsian Volevič system of size m . Then locally $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus m}$. This isomorphism follows from the results due to Mandai [12] or Mandai-Tahara [13].

Let $\mathcal{R}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$ be the subcategory of $\mathfrak{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ consisting of regular-specializable \mathcal{D}_X -Modules, and $\Psi_Y(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $\Phi_Y(\mathcal{M})$) denotes the nearby cycle (resp. the vanishing cycle) of \mathcal{M} . We remark that $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{R}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$ if and only if the following holds: for any $u \in \mathcal{M}$, locally there exists $P \in \mathcal{D}_X$ such that $Pu = 0$, where P is of the following form:

$$P = \vartheta^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} b_i \vartheta^i + \tau \sum_{|\alpha|+i \leq m} a_{\alpha,i}(z, \tau) \partial_z^\alpha \vartheta^i \quad (b_i \in \mathbb{C}).$$

For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{R}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have the following distinguished triangles (see [9]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\Psi_Y(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^*\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^{\mathbb{R}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\Phi_Y(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y), \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \\ \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{Y|X}^\infty) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^! \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_Y)[-1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^*\hat{\sigma}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^{\mathbb{R}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\Phi_Y(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \hat{\sigma}^{-1}\nu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\Psi_Y(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_Y). \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \end{array}$$

4.7. Theorem. If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{R}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, then $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_Y^\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Y} \Psi_Y(\mathcal{M})$. In particular, if Y is non-characteristic for \mathcal{M} , then $\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_Y^\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathbf{D}f^* \mathcal{M}$.

§ 5. Boundary Values for Hyperfunction Solutions

We denote by \mathcal{B}_M and \mathcal{C}_M the sheaves of *hyperfunctions* on M and of *microfunctions* on T_M^*X respectively. For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_N(\mathcal{B}_M)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^! \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N)[-1].$$

5.1. Definition ([4], [5]). We define the sheaf on $\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M$ of second hyperfunctions by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}^2 := H_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}^{n+1}(\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}^{\mathbb{R}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/Y} \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}(\mu_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/Y}[n+2].$$

By Holmgren type theorem for hyperfunctions and [4], [5], we have monomorphisms

$$\Gamma_{M_+}(\mathcal{B}_M)|_N \hookrightarrow {}^*\hat{s}^{-1}\mathcal{C}_M \hookrightarrow {}^*\hat{s}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}^2.$$

Hence we obtain

5.2. Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then there exists the following morphism between distinguished triangles:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_N(\mathcal{B}_M)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^!\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N)[-1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{M_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^*\hat{s}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N). \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \end{array}$$

5.3. Definition. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. By Theorem 5.2 we can define

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma_+ : \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N).$$

Taking cohomologies, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_N(\mathcal{B}_M)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} & \xlongequal{\quad} & R^{-1}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^!\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{M_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, {}^*\hat{s}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\sqrt{-1}T_N^*M}^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{D}_X}^1(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_N(\mathcal{B}_M)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N/M} & \xlongequal{\quad} & R^0\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathbf{D}f^!\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N). \end{array}$$

Therefore

5.4. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then (5.1) induces a monomorphism*

$$\gamma_+^0 : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N).$$

Next, we recall definitions of several sheaves attached to the boundary due to Oaku [18]. Note that in Oaku [18] these sheaves are defined on cosphere bundles, so we shall present definitions on cotangent bundles along the line of Oaku-Yamazaki [19]. We refer to Oaku [18] or Oaku-Yamazaki [19] for the proofs. Although only the higher-codimensional case is treated in [19], the same proofs also work as in the one-codimensional case.

5.5. Definition. We set:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{N|M} &:= s_{L\pi}^{-1} \mu_{\widetilde{M}_N}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Omega_L}(p_L^{-1} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{O}_X))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M/X}[n], \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M} &:= \mu_{T_N M}(\nu_Y(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{O}_X))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M/X}[n], \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M} &:= \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}|_{T_N M}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}$ are concentrated in degree zero, and $\nu_N(\mathcal{B}_M) = \mathcal{C}_{N|M}|_{T_N M}$.

5.6. Proposition ([18]). (1) $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}$ are concentrated in degree zero; that is, $\mathcal{C}_{N|M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}$ are regarded as sheaves on $T_{NM}^* T_Y L$.

(2) There exists a canonical monomorphism $s_{N|M}^*: \mathcal{C}_{N|M} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}$.

(3) $\nu_N(\mathcal{B}_M) = \mathcal{C}_{N|M}|_{T_N M}$, and there exists the following commutative diagram with exact rows on $T_N M$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \nu_Y(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{O}_L)|_{T_N M} & \rightarrow & \nu_N(\mathcal{B}_M) & \rightarrow & \dot{\pi}_{N|M*} \mathcal{C}_{N|M} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \nu_Y(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{O}_L)|_{T_N M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M} & \longrightarrow & \dot{\pi}_{N|M*} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M} & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{O}_L := H_L^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L/X} \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_L(\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L/X}[1]$. Note that $\nu_Y(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{O}_L)$ is concentrated in degree zero.

5.7. Definition. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. By Definition 2.6, Proposition 3.7, Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.6, we define the morphism γ_+ :

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_+ : i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}) &\rightarrow i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M}) \\ &\simeq \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N).\end{aligned}$$

The restriction of γ_+ to the zero-section $T_N M^+$ of $T_{NM^+}^* T_Y L^+$ coincides with the boundary value morphism (5.1).

We can obtain the following Holmgren type theorem:

5.8. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then the morphism γ_+ gives a monomorphism

$$\gamma_+^0 : i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0 \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N).$$

5.9. Remark. Theorem 5.8 gives another proof of Proposition 5.4.

5.10. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Assume that \mathcal{M} is near-hyperbolic at $\dot{x} \in N$ in the dt -codirection. Then, for any $p^* = (\hat{s}(\dot{x}); \sqrt{-1} \dot{y}^*) \in T_{NM^+}^* T_Y L^+$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\gamma_+ : \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M})_{p^*} \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N)_{p_0}.$$

Here $p_0 := \tilde{\tau}_\pi(p^*) = (\dot{x}; \sqrt{-1} \dot{y}^*) \in T_N^*Y$. In particular, there exists an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ : \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))_{\dot{x}} &= \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \nu_N(\mathcal{B}_M))_{\hat{s}(\dot{x})} \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N)_{\dot{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the mappings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_M^*X & \xleftarrow{f_{N\pi}} & N \times_M T_M^*X & \xrightarrow{f_{Nd}} & T_N^*Y \\ \downarrow k & \square & \downarrow k & \square & \downarrow k \\ T^*X & \xleftarrow{f_\pi} & Y \times_X T^*X & \xrightarrow{f_d} & T^*Y. \end{array}$$

Then the sheaf of *microfunction with a real analytic parameter* t on T_N^*Y is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A := f_{Nd!} f_{N\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_M \simeq H^{n+1}(k^{-1} \mathbf{R}f_{d!} f_\pi^{-1} \mu_{\mathcal{H}om}(\mathbb{C}_M, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M/X}).$$

The sheaf $\mathring{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M_+}$ of *mild microfunctions* on T_N^*Y is defined by Kataoka [8], and reformulated by Schapira-Zampieri as [21]

$$\mathring{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M_+} = H^{n+1}(\mathbf{R}f_{d!} f_\pi^{-1} \mu_{\mathcal{H}om}(\mathbb{C}_{\Omega_+}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M/X}).$$

Then we have natural monomorphisms ([17], [19]):

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{N|M_+}^A \hookrightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathring{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M_+} \hookrightarrow i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{N|M},$$

and restricting to N , we have natural monomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}_{N|M}^A \hookrightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M_+} \hookrightarrow \hat{s}^{-1} \nu_N(\mathcal{B}_M) = \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M)|_N.$$

Here $\mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M_+}$ denotes the sheaf of mild hyperfunctions. Setting $Df^*\mathcal{M} := H^0 \mathbf{D}f^*\mathcal{M}$, we can obtain a monomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^*\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_N) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0 \Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N).$$

For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{F}_Y(\mathcal{D}_X)$, by construction and [25], we obtain the following:

(1) There exist the following commutative diagrams:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A) & & \searrow \gamma^A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathring{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M_+}) & \xrightarrow{\mathring{\gamma}} & \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^*\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_+} & \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^A} & \\
\downarrow & \searrow \dot{\gamma} & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M_+}) & \xrightarrow{\dot{\gamma}} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^*\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N & \xrightarrow{\gamma_+} & \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N).
\end{array}
\tag{5.3}$$

Moreover (5.2) and (5.3) induce the following monomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}^A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{A,0}} & \\
\downarrow & \searrow \dot{\gamma}^0 & \downarrow \\
\tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathring{\mathcal{C}}_{N|M_+}) & \xrightarrow{\dot{\gamma}^0} & \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^*\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
i_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}_{N|M}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_+^0} & \tilde{\tau}_{\pi+}^{-1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{C}_N)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{N|M}^A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{A,0}} & \\
\downarrow & \searrow \dot{\gamma}^0 & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathring{\mathcal{B}}_{N|M_+}) & \xrightarrow{\dot{\gamma}^0} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(Df^*\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma_{\Omega_+}(\mathcal{B}_M))|_N & \xrightarrow{\gamma_+^0} & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_Y^\infty}(H^0\Psi_Y^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{B}_N).
\end{array}$$

(2) Let $p^* = (\hat{s}(\hat{x}); \sqrt{-1} \hat{y}^*) \in T_{T_N M_+}^* \dot{T}_Y L^+$. Assume that \mathcal{M} is near-hyperbolic at $\hat{x} \in N$ in the $\pm dt$ -codirections. Then γ^A , $\dot{\gamma}$ and γ_+ are isomorphisms at p^* in (5.2) (resp. at \hat{x} in (5.3)).

References

- [1] Baouendi, M. S. and Goulaouic, C., Cauchy problems with characteristic initial hypersurface, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1973), 455–475.
- [2] Björk, J.-E., *Analytic \mathcal{D} -Modules and Applications*, Math. and Its Appl. **247**, Kluwer, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 1993.
- [3] Kashiwara, M., *General Theory of Algebraic Analysis*, Iwanami, Tokyo, 2000 (Japanese); English transl., *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Transl. of Math. Monogr. **217**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [4] Kashiwara, M. and Kawai, T., Second-microlocalization and asymptotic expansions, *Complex Analysis, Microlocal Calculus, and Relativistic Quantum Theory, Proceedings Internat. Colloq., Centre Phys. Les Houches 1979* (Iagolnitzer, D., Ed.), Lecture Notes in Phys. **126**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1980, pp. 21–76.
- [5] Kashiwara, M. et Laurent, Y., Théorèmes d’annulation et deuxième microlocalisation, Prépubl. Univ. Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1983.
- [6] Kashiwara, M. and Oshima, T., Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary value problems, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **106** (1977), 145–200.

- [7] Kashiwara, M. and Schapira, P., *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **292**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1990.
- [8] Kataoka, K., Micro-local theory of boundary value problems, I–II, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **27** (1980), 355–399; *ibid.* **28** (1981), 31–56.
- [9] Laurent, Y., Vanishing cycles of D -modules, *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), 491–539.
- [10] Laurent, Y. et Monteiro Fernandes, T., Systèmes différentiels Fuchsien le long d’une sous-variété, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24** (1988), 397–431.
- [11] ———, Topological boundary values and regular \mathcal{D} -modules, *Duke Math. J.* **93** (1998), 207–230.
- [12] Mandai, T., The method of Frobenius to Fuchsian partial differential equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2000), 645–672.
- [13] Mandai, T. and Tahara, H., Structure of solutions to Fuchsian systems of partial differential equations, *Nagoya Math. J.* **169** (2003), 1–17.
- [14] Monteiro Fernandes, T., Formulation des valeurs au bord pour les systèmes réguliers, *Compos. Math.* **81** (1992), 121–142.
- [15] ———, Holmgren theorem and boundary values for regular systems, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **318** (1994), 913–918.
- [16] T. Oaku, F -mild hyperfunctions and Fuchsian partial differential equations, *Group Representation and Systems of Differential Equations, Proceedings Tokyo 1982* (Okamoto, K., Ed.), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **4**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo; North-Holland, Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1984, pp. 223–242.
- [17] ———, Microlocal boundary value problem for Fuchsian operators, I, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.* **32** (1985), 287–317.
- [18] ———, Boundary value problems for a system of linear partial differential equations and propagation of micro-analyticity, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.* **33** (1986), 175–232.
- [19] Oaku, T. and Yamazaki, S., Higher-codimensional boundary value problems and F -mild microfunctions, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **34** (1998), 383–437.
- [20] Sato, M., Kawai, T. and Kashiwara, M., Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, *Hyperfunctions and Pseudo-Differential Equations, Proc. Conf. Katata 1971* (Komatsu, H., Ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. **287**, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1973, pp. 265–529.
- [21] Schapira, P. and Zampieri, G., Microfunctions at the boundary and mild microfunctions, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24** (1988), 495–503.
- [22] Tahara, H., Fuchsian type equations and Fuchsian hyperbolic equations, *Japan J. Math. (N.S.)* **5** (1979), 245–347.
- [23] M. Uchida, A generalization of Bochner’s tube theorem in elliptic boundary value problems, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **31** (1995), 1065–1077.
- [24] Yamazaki, S., Microlocal boundary value problem for regular-specializable systems, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2004), 1109–1129.
- [25] ———, Hyperfunction solutions to Fuchsian hyperbolic systems, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **12** (2005), 191–209.